
Subject: RE: Danish newspaper interested in Ripley Davenport

From: Caitriona Hughes (CaitrionaH@MS-Society.ie)

To: rett139@yahoo.com;

Date: Wednesday, April 2, 2014 10:03 AM

Dear Kent,

Thank you for your patience over the last few weeks. We have taken legal advice and we will not be exchanging the correspondence in relation to this matter to either parties. We consider this the end of this matter.

Many Thanks,

Caitriona

From: Caitriona Hughes
Sent: 24 March 2014 15:35
To: 'Kent Madin'
Subject: RE: Danish newspaper interested in Ripley Davenport

Hi Kent,

Hope you're well.

Appreciate the information. My apologies about the delay, we will be back to you on Thursday.

Thanks,

Caitriona

From: Kent Madin [<mailto:rett139@yahoo.com>]
Sent: 23 March 2014 22:46
To: Caitriona Hughes
Subject: Re: Danish newspaper interested in Ripley Davenport

You're welcome to share this documented history of the Davenports with your legal team as well. Hopefully this will help explain why I made the effort to bring Mr. Davenport's history to the attention of MSIreland.

<http://ripleydavenport.net/ripleydavenportsynopsis.htm>

Kent Madin

On Tuesday, March 18, 2014 8:13 AM, Kent Madin <rett139@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Caitriona,

You are welcome to share this observation with your legal person. In my opinion Mr. Davenport is a well documented fraud, fantasist, deadbeat and serial embellisher. Copious evidence in support of those conclusions is available on request. It is also my opinion that it is imprudent, to say the least, for MSIreland to embrace as a fundraiser and School Ambassador someone with such a checkered history.

Mr. Davenport may have MS but given his history prudence would dictate the need for an organization like MSIreland to confirm that diagnosis before joining with him in leveraging his purported illness for fundraising purposes and personal gain.

Irregardless of any of the above, if MSIreland is going to release documents to Mr. Davenport detailing my communications with MSIreland, then I expect that they would reciprocate with documents from Mr. Davenport to MSIreland referring to myself. Sauce for the goose and all.

That said, I suggest this solution. I am happy to release MSIreland from any liability arising from the decision to share my communications as long as Mr. Davenport also agrees to the same for his communications. That would seem like a simple and equitable solution.

Kent Madin

On Tuesday, March 18, 2014 6:14 AM, Caitriona Hughes <CaitrionaH@MS-Society.ie> wrote:

Hi Kent,

Hope you're well.

I have no update at present, I know all parties involved are anxious about the outcome but I am meeting with our legal person next week.

When I have an update I will advise all parties.

Thanks,

Caitriona

From: Kent Madin [<mailto:rett139@yahoo.com>]
Sent: 15 March 2014 19:23
To: Caitriona Hughes
Subject: Re: Danish newspaper interested in Ripley Davenport

Dear Caitriona,

It's been 10 days since last I wrote for an update on this issue and well over a month since the issue arose. Can you give me some idea of where all this stands?

Kent Madin

On Wednesday, March 5, 2014 7:46 AM, Kent Madin <rett139@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Caitriona,

Is there an news on this issue?

Thanks much.

Kent Madin

On Thursday, February 20, 2014 8:45 AM, Caitriona Hughes <CaitrionaH@MS-Society.ie> wrote:

Hi Kent,

I have not transferred copies of any of your correspondence with Ava Battles or myself to Mr. Davenport.

Thanks,

Caitriona

From: Kent Madin [<mailto:rett139@yahoo.com>]
Sent: 20 February 2014 15:36
To: Caitriona Hughes
Subject: Re: Danish newspaper interested in Ripley Davenport

Hi Caitriona,

Thanks for getting back to me and I'll be happy to wait for the answers.

Please do clarify for me now, if you would, whether or not you have transferred copies of our communications to Ripley Davenport.

Thanks.

Kent Madin

On Thursday, February 20, 2014 8:17 AM, Caitriona Hughes
<CaitrionaH@MS-Society.ie> wrote:

Hi Kent,

Apologies about the delay in getting back to you - We have several
our largest campaigns currently going on at the moment.

I am still waiting on answers to several of your questions from
another party, as this would not be my area of expertise. Once I have
the information I have requested to answer your queries I will get
back to you straight away.

Many Thanks for your understanding,

Caitriona

From: Kent Madin [<mailto:rett139@yahoo.com>]
Sent: 17 February 2014 14:19
To: Caitriona Hughes
Subject: Re: Danish newspaper interested in Ripley Davenport

Hi Caitriona,

Well you be getting back to me on the issues I raised about
confidentiality etc. below soon?

Thanks much,

Kent Madin

On Tuesday, February 11, 2014 4:09 PM, Kent Madin
<rett139@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Caitriona,

First, let me say, sorry if this is becoming an administrative distraction that takes you from more pressing work. Second, good on you for adhering to the letter of the law. I'll have to read up on the data protection act. Since you mention that an individual has the right "to find out, free of charge, if a person (an individual or an organization) holds information about him." I wonder if that means that I can make a Data Protection request of Ripley Davenport for information that he holds about me. Not your concern, really

But just so I understand. You will be required by the Data Protection Act to forward this email to Mr. Davenport as well? And any subsequent emails that I send you that mention Mr. Davenport? What if I wrote an email and just used his initials? What if his name was John Smith? Would you have to produce every document or record referring to that name, even if they weren't the person making the request? Does the person making the request have to give a reason explaining why they need or want the information?

So if I include attachments in the email, that goes over to Mr. Davenport too? I've attached a document just to make that a concrete question. It's a libelous letter written by Mr. Davenport to various people, unknown to me, declaring that I am a criminal. As you can see it is undated and declared solemnly to be true. So I am wondering if the Data Protection Act would give me the legal basis to demand all of Mr. Davenport's stored email regarding me, including the list of people to whom he sent this document? Mr. Davenport isn't just a private individual, but a going business concern with a trademark (although there is no record of a trademark for "ripley davenport" at either the Ireland trademarks database or the EU trademarks database). Here's another one of Mr. Davenport's libelous screeds on his own website: <http://ripleydavenport.com/kentmadin.html> And the response to it with annotations is here: <http://ripleydavenport.net/kentmadincyberstalker.pdf>

Feel free to point out to Mr. Davenport that he could save you and MSIreland a lot of trouble by just communicating directly with me.

I wonder if your legal counsel has considered these subsections of the Data Protection Act?

Information about Other Individuals

*Section 4(4) of the Data Protection Act makes special provision for dealing with the personal data of another individual. A data controller is not obliged to comply with an access request if that would result in disclosing data about another individual, **unless that other individual has consented to the disclosure.** However, the data controller is obliged to disclose so much of the information as can be supplied without identifying the other individual, e.g. by omitting names or other identifying particulars. **(Clearly, your release of my communications to you, made with an expectation of privacy, would disclose data about me.)***

Expressions of opinion

*Where personal data consists of an expression of opinion about the data subject by another person, the data subject has a right to access that opinion **except if that opinion was given in confidence.** If the opinion was not given in confidence then the possible identification of the individual who gave it does not exempt it from access. **(Again, your legal counsel may want to ponder on this. I contacted you for the purpose of warning you of a possible danger to the reputation of MSIreland and the possibility of someone who you have anointed "Ambassador" committing a fraudulent act with repercussions for MSIreland. While I did not specifically preface my contact with an expectation of confidentiality, I think you may agree that freely sharing the communications of someone like myself, a potential "whistleblower", would have a chilling effect on future cases of people standing up and making warnings to an organization. And I would note that when this subject first came up (see below), you simply declared that you were going to share the information and made no effort to ask if I expected the communications to be given in confidence.)***

"I wanted to write to you to inform you that Ripley Davenport has requested a copy of all emails you have sent to MS Ireland in relation to him. We will be

sending these to him next week."

*So, given all that, at this point I am going to **officially rescind my permission to share my communications with Ripley Davenport** until such time as your legal counsel has had a chance to respond to the issues I raised above.*

Looking forward to hearing from you.

Kent Madin

On Tuesday, February 11, 2014 9:47 AM, Caitriona Hughes <CaitrionaH@MS-Society.ie> wrote:

Hi Kent,

Hope you're well. Thanks for the information. In regards to emails relating directly to Ripley Davenport, a request has been made by Ripley Davenport to obtain the emails relating to him and Under **Section 3** of the Data Protection Act in Ireland, he has a right to find out, free of charge, if a person (an individual or an organisation) holds information about him. He also has a right to be given a description of the information and to be told the purpose(s) for holding the information.

Under **Section 4** of the Data Protection Acts, 1988 and 2003, he has a right to obtain a copy, clearly explained, of any information relating to him kept on computer or in a structured manual filing system or intended for such a system by any entity or organisation.

I have yet to send Ripley Davenport a copy of any emails that relate to him, as I wanted to ensure that you were aware the request had been made to MS Ireland for a copy of these. You also have the same rights to see any information kept by MS Ireland in relation to this issue. I will check if I have received any emails or if we are holding any information with references to yourself from Ripley Davenport and will send these to you.

Hope the above makes sense.

Many Thanks,
Caitriona

From: Kent Madin [<mailto:rett139@yahoo.com>]
Sent: 07 February 2014 22:14
To: Caitriona Hughes
Subject: Fw: Danish newspaper interested in Ripley Davenport

Dear Caitriona,
Upon reflection, I thought I would forward this email exchange between Capt. Steve Crawford of the Bozeman Police and Lasse Rahbeck, the author of the Politiken article. While it does not speak to Mr. Davenport's history of fraudulent claims regarding expeditions, it does make it clear that Mr. Davenport tested the proposition that I am a cyberstalker through the rigors of a police investigation and the result is clear, "no criminal violation".

I would also appreciate your explanation of why it is your policy to forward to Mr. Davenport my communications with you regarding Mr. Davenport without first obtaining my permission. Don't misunderstand, I would have given you my permission to do so. In fact, if Mr. Davenport had not demanded that I not write to him, I would have cc'd him on the emails to you. Nevertheless, I would like an explanation of how that decision was made.

I have to say I find it a bit disconcerting that after being provided documentation that supports the proposition that Mr. Davenport may be deceiving MS Ireland, you unilaterally decide to share my communications with him.

That said, I repeat that it is only fair and prudent for you to share whatever communications you've had with Mr. Davenport about this issue.

Sincerely,

Kent Madin

On Thursday, March 21, 2013 1:04 PM, Steve Crawford <SCrawford@BOZEMAN.NET> wrote:

Lasse,

I can confirm that I had contact with both parties. I can also confirm that after consulting with our prosecutor there was no criminal violation on Mr. Madin's part.

Unfortunately, there is limited information that I can discuss since it was deemed a non-criminal

has spend the past two years researching Ripley Davenports career and seems to have found enough circumstantial evidence to suggest, it is almost entirely made up.

The reason I write you is that Ripley Davenport reported Kent Madin to Bozeman police last year, labeling him as a cyber stalker. Kent has told me you payed him a visit, talked the matter over and dismissed the case.

I am sure there are limits to what you can tell me, but I would love to have a talk with you. At least to verify some details.

Kent Madin is CC'ed on this e-mail, as I am sure he will give you permission to disclose any details regarding him.

Feel free to call me if you prefer

Best regards,

Lasse Rahbek
Video Journalist -
politiken.dk<<http://politiken.dk/>>

POLITIKEN
Rådhuspladsen 37
DK-1785 København V
lrp@pol.dk<<mailto:cls@pol.dk>>

Tlf: +45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371+45

33471371+45 33471371+45
33471371+45 33471371
Mobil: +45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292+45 22425292+45
22425292

All City of Bozeman emails are subject to the Right to Know provisions of Montana's Constitution (Art. II, Sect. 9) and may be considered a "public record" per Sect. 2-6-202 and Sect. 2-6-401, Montana Code Annotated. As such, this email, its sender and receiver, and the contents may be available for public disclosure and will be retained pursuant to the City's record retention policies. Emails that contain confidential information related to individual privacy may be protected from disclosure under law.

